

## NATURAL CONTROL REALIZED BY PARASITOID INSECTS INSIDE THE *APHIS FABAE* SCOP. COLONIES

BY

CARMEN PRELIPCEAN<sup>1</sup>, GHEORGHE MUSTAȚĂ<sup>1</sup>, ANDREI PRELIPCEAN<sup>1</sup>

**Keywords:** Aphidae, Aphidiidae, parasitoids, hyperparasitoids, biological control.

This paper presents a parasitoid complex realized by 13 insect species from 6 genres of Hymenoptera Phylum and families such as: Aphidiidae, Megaspilidae, Cynipidae, Pteromalidae and Encyrtidae. These species controls, through natural ways, the *Aphis fabae* Scop. populations which are installed on different plants.

### Introduction

*Aphis fabae* Scop. is a kind of aphid species very dangerous to cultivated plants. This species realized migrations from *Phyladelphus*, *Evonymus*, *Viburnum* (which are primary host-plants) to over 200 spontaneous and cultivated herbal species.

Aphidiides acting as primary parasitoids and they realized certain limitations of some colonies of those aphides. Intervention of secondary parasitoids limited the efficiency of the primary parasitoids.

Relationships between primary parasitoids and hyperparasitoids are enough complex and they are presented as a trophic network, characteristic for parasitoid biocoenosis.

### Material and methods

We investigated the role of parasitoid insects in the natural control of these aphides populations. Our researches are based on investigations made in 2003 in seven localities from Botoșani District. We investigated *Aphis fabae* Scop. colonies installed on 10 plant species such as: *Phyladelphus coronarius* (primary host-plants) and *Robinia pseudaccacia*, *Cichorium intibus*, *Trifolium pratense*, *Helianthus annuus*, *Arctium lappa*, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, *Cirsium arvense*, *Medicago sativa* and *Zea mays* (secondary host-plants).

There were been preserved 2707 mummies formed by primary parasitoids and they were kept in laboratory conditions for obtaining the parasitoids. They were obtained 13 parasitoid species (3 primary parasitoid species and 19 hyperparasitoid species).

---

<sup>1</sup> „Al.I. Cuza” University of Iași

Based both on dissections and the investigations of mummies after parasitoids hatching of eggs we clear up trophic relationships between species and we realize a new trophic network.

For the investigation of each species role inside the biocoenotic complex we made a sinecological analysis of parasitoid species concerning: abundance, constancy, dominance, ecological significance index and coenotic affinity.

### Results and discussions

We collected 2707 mummies formed by aphidiides inside the *Aphis fabae* Scop. colonies preserved from 10 host-plants, from seven localities in Botoșani District (Table 1). In laboratory conditions were been hatching a number of eggs equal both for parasitoids and hyperparasitoids from 13 species as following:

*Aphidiidae* family: *Lysiphlebus fabarum* (Marsh.), *L. ambiguus* (Hal.) and *L. melandriicola* Starý.

*Cynipidae* family: *Charips arcuatus* (Kieff), *Ch. carpenteri* (Kieff), *Ch. melanogaster* (Hartig), *Ch. minutum*, *Alloxysta campyla* Kieff. and *Alloxysta semiclausa* Kieff.

*Megaspilidae* family: *Dendrocerus aphidum* (Rond.) and *D. carpenteri* (Kieff.)

*Pteromalidae* family: *Pachyneuron aphidum* (Bché.)

*Encyrtidae* family: *Aphydencyrtus aphidivorus* (Mayr.)

Based on the dissections realized on aphides (at the level of parasitoids larva) and the control of mummies after the hatching of eggs, we manage to establish trophic relationships between species and we draw the specific trophic network especially for this biocoenotic complex (Figure 1).

Primary parasitoid species have not much efficiency in the limiting of *Aphis fabae* populations. However, inside the colonies with few individs from some plants (*Arctium lappa*, *Helianthus annuus*, *Medicago sativa*) the parasitism percentage is between 15-20%.

It was been realized a sinecologic analysis for presentation the role of each specie from this biocenotic complex. Table 2 presenting species depending on their abundance level: *Pachyneuron aphidis* with 938 individuals, *Lysiphlebus fabarum* with 784 individuals, *Aphydencyrtus aphidivorus* with 745 individuals and *Lysiphlebus ambiguus* with 161 individuals. After those, there are following some another species with a smaller number of individuals. First three species of them are, in the same time, euconstant, eudominant and with the highest value of ecological significance index. *L. ambiguus* is the dominant specie, and four species have an accessory or accidental presence inside of complex.

Cenotic affinity does not prove than the euconstant and eudominant species have high affinity, and their role concerning to the biocenotic complex stability.

### Conclusions

Based on the researches made in 2003 concerning *Aphis fabae* Scop. colonies which attacked 10 plant species from seven localities (Botoșani District), we manage to identify 13 parasitoid species from 6 genres of 5 families from *Hymenoptera* Phylum.

The aphidiide species acting as primary parasitoids, and the others acting as hyperparasitoids (secondary and tertiary). We manage to clear up the trophic relationships between species. We did it by using a specific trophic network of this biocenotic complex. For the establishing the contribution of each specie to the limitation of *Aphis fabae* Scop. populations, we used a sinecological analysis concerning to the: abundance, constancy, domination, ecological significance index and cenotic affinity.

### References

1. Broussal, G., 1961 - C.R. Ac. Sci., Paris, 3025 – 3126.
2. Holmanm J. Pintere, A., 1981 - Shidri C.S.A.V. Praha, 1981.
3. Mustață Gh., 1986 - Lucr. celei de-a III a conf. de Entomol. Iași 20 –22 mai 1983, 583 –594.
4. Partzala, D., Valtu G., 1965 Ecel. Aphidoph. Iusut, 279 – 281, Praha.
5. Starý, P., 1904 – Ckologra Polska – Seria A, XII, 30, 529 –554, Warszama.
6. Starý, P., 1973 – Ann. Zool. et Bot. 84, 1 – 87, Bratislava.

**Table 1. Parasitoids complex from *Aphis fabae* Scop. colonies in 2003**

Nr.	Data	Locality	Host plant	<i>Lysiphlebus ambiguus</i>	<i>Lysiphlebus fabarum</i>	<i>Lysiphlebus melantriicola</i>	<i>Dendrocerus aphidum</i>	<i>Dendrocerus carpenteri</i>	<i>Alloxysta campyla</i>	<i>Charips arcuatus</i>	<i>Charips carpenteri</i>	<i>Charips melanogaster</i>	<i>Charips minutum</i>	<i>Pachyneuron adphidiis</i>	<i>Aphidencyrtus aphidiivorum</i>	
1	27.VII	Botoşani	<b><i>Robinia pseudaccacia</i></b>											6	2	8
2	24.VII	Hudum	<i>Cichorium intibus</i>		26							1			2	29
3	23.VI	Botoşani	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>		12		1			1			1			15
4	12.VI	Botoşani	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	14	1										52	67
5	18.VII	Botoşani	<i>Arctium lappa</i>	78	13	1	8	2	1	1	3		2			113
6	11.IX	Botoşani	<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	28	2							1		4	57	92
7	4.VI	Botoşani	<i>Philadelphus coronarius</i>	3	8	2	1							10	2	26
8	18.VIII	Curteşti	<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	2	8		2				2		4	176	194	
9	24.VI	Hudum	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	24	478			3					51	29	585	
10	2.VIII	Brehuieşti	<i>Medicago sativa</i>		29				1						31	
11	30.VI	Botoşani	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	12	15.4	2			2	2		1	286	86	545	
12	6.VII	Răchiţi	<i>Medicago sativa</i>		22		1	2			1		287	63	372	
13	29.VII	Hudum	<i>Zea mays</i>			1			3			2	197	88	293	

14	27.VII	Leorda	<i>Zea mays</i>		4	2			2	1		9	21	39		
15	20.VII	Curtești	<i>Zea mays</i>		3					2		1		28	34	
16	27.VII	Botoșani	<i>Zea mays</i>		21	1			1	1		2		7	49	84
17	3.VIII	Stâncești	<i>Zea mays</i>				2		1			1	1	11	4	19
18	2.VIII	Brehuiești	<i>Zea mays</i>		2			1	1		2	1		70	83	158
				161	784	8	15	8	10	5	9	9	8	938	745	2707

**Table 2.** Sinecological analysis of parasitoid species from *Aphis fabae* Scop. colonies

Nr.	Specie	Abundance	Domination	Constancy	Ecological Significance Index	Cenotic affinity												
						1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	<b>Pachyneuron aphidis</b>	938	35,00 D5	67 C4	23,45 W5		56	80	36	29	29	36	27	27	38	20	42	14
2	<i>Lysiphlebus fabarum</i>	784	29,00 D5	89 C4	25,81 W5			72	44	29	35	31	25	25	38	38	31	25
3	<i>Aphidencyrtus aphidivorus</i>	745	28,00 D5	83 C4	23,24 W5				29	24	29	25	12	12	40	24	25	12
4	<i>Lysiphlebus ambiguus</i>	161	6,00 D4	39 C2	2,34 W3					30	75	77	57	38	75	63	71	57
5	<i>Dendrocerus aphidum</i>	15	0,70 D1	33 C2	0,23 W2						30	77	25	25	33	50	22	25

6	<i>Alloxysta campyla</i>	10	0,40 D1	39 C2	0,04 W2						20	11	11	30	44	20	22
7	<i>Charips arcuatus</i>	9	0,31 D1	28 C2	0,08 W2							13	13	0	38	43	29
8	<i>Charips carpenteri</i>	9	0,31 D1	22 C1	0,06 W2								14	11	25	25	33
9	<i>Dendrocerus carpenteri</i>	8	0,30 D1	22 C1	0,06 W2									11	25	25	14
10	<i>Charips melanogaster</i>	8	0,30 D1	36 C2	0,08 W2										9	9	30
11	<i>Charips minutum</i>	8	0,30 D1	36 C2	0,08 W2											22	25
12	<i>Lysiphlebus melandriicola</i>	8	0,30 D1	28 C2	0,08 W2												50
13	<i>Alloxysta semiclausa</i>	5	0,20 D1	22 C1	0,04 W2												

**Fig. 1 Specific trophic network for *Aphis fabae* Scop. colonies and the parasitoids complex**

